Im 6M Linden NJD002 186 690





December 5, 2016

١,,,

Mr. Gary Greulich New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Northern Regional Office 7 Ridgedale Avenue Cedar Knolls, NJ 07927

RE: Remedial Action Progress Report No. 29 for the Retail Redevelopment Area Portion of the Former General Motors (GM) Linden Assembly Plant, 1016 West Edgar Road, Linden, Union County, New Jersey 07036; DUK059.701.0221.

Dear Mr. Greulich:

On May 26, 2009, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) approved the New Jersey Remedial Action Workplan and RCRA Corrective Measures Proposal Addendum No. 1 (RAWP) for the Retail Redevelopment Area of the Former GM Linden Assembly Plant (site; SRP PI# 014755; EA ID# SUB090001; BFO File Number: 20-09-24). The May 26, 2009 approval letter requested a Remedial Action Progress Report for the Retail Redevelopment Area on/by November 30, 2009. Subsequent reports are submitted on a quarterly basis.

This letter constitutes Remedial Action Progress Report No. 29 for the Retail Redevelopment Area. Hull & Associates, Inc. (Hull) has prepared this report on behalf of Linden Development LLC (Linden Development) to summarize remedial activities completed on the site between September 1 and November 30, 2016.

Requirements, according to N.J.A.C. 7:26E-6.6, are shown below in **bold italics**, with Hull/Linden Development's update following. The report certification required by N.J.A.C. 7:26E-1.5 is included in Attachment A.

- 1. NJDEP requires a description of each planned remedial action.
  - i. scheduled to be initiated or completed within the reporting period;
  - ii. actually initiated or completed during the reporting period; and
  - iii. scheduled but not initiated or not completed during the reporting period, including the reasons for the noncompliance with the approved schedule.

#### <u>Soil</u>

As outlined in the approved RAWP, the remedial activities for soils on the Retail Redevelopment Area consist of the following:

- Establishing deed restrictions or environmental covenants to maintain commercial/industrial land use at the site;
- Regrading the site to achieve the grade necessary to support the proposed redevelopment;
- Constructing building slabs, parking areas and roadways and placing one foot of clean soil over geotextile fabric in future greenspaces to preclude direct contact exposures to future receptor populations and/or provide cover to historical fill material; and
- Surveying to demonstrate that all areas are covered with engineering controls (e.g., building slabs, parking areas and roadways) or one foot of clean soil.

These remedial activities are directly related to construction activities associated with the future redevelopment at the site which are dependent upon finalization of agreements with end users. Linden

669588

Development has been working on agreements with end users that will occupy various portions of the site. Given that end user agreements have not been finalized, significant construction activities described in the RAWP have not yet been initiated. Construction support activities that have been conducted thus far included importing fill material, as discussed below.

## Fill Material Import Activities

As outlined in previous quarterly reports, Linden Development has previously imported structural fill materials and cover soils from off-site sources for use during the redevelopment consistent with the RAWP and the Revised Soil and Concrete Reuse Proposal (Revision 1.0) approved by NJDEP.

During the reporting period, approximately 529 tons of stone from the Bound Brook Quarry (virgin source) was stockpiled on the Retail Redevelopment Area until the stone was needed for Building 11 modifications on the Industrial #1 Redevelopment Area of the site. As of November 30, 2016, approximately 70 tons of stone from the Bound Brook Quarry remained in the Retail Redevelopment area. Documentation regarding this material is included in Remedial Action Progress Report No 29 for the Industrial #1 Redevelopment Area.

## Groundwater

As outlined in the approved RAWP, remedial actions related to groundwater underlying the overall Retail Redevelopment Area do not appear to be necessary. However, sporadic historical concentrations of lead in limited monitoring wells have exceeded groundwater quality criteria at the site, as observed in previous groundwater sampling data. As a result, the NJDEP may consider that an indeterminate Classification Exception Area (CEA) is necessary due to these sporadic exceedances and the presence of historical fill at the site. Based on discussions with Mr. Greulich conducted since November 2009, the indeterminate CEA will be established by NJDEP as part of finalizing the site NFA and will include the overburden aquifer within the site boundaries. As discussed on November 18, 2009 and reiterated during ongoing quarterly meetings, Mr. Greulich currently maintains the information necessary to establish the indeterminate CEA (if ultimately deemed necessary) and no additional submittals by Linden Development are required.

As discussed in previous quarterly reports, trichloroethene (TCE), tetrachloroethene (PCE) and 1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE) were detected in groundwater at concentrations exceeding the NJDEP Class IIA groundwater standards within a limited portion of the proposed Walmart parcel and MW-98 Well cluster within the Retail Redevelopment Area. A groundwater permit and CEA will be established for the overburden groundwater zones over a portion of the Retail Development Area in the vicinity of the MW-98 monitoring well cluster. Monitoring wells MW-17RS, MW-26S, MW-27S, MW-55S, MW-98S, MW-17D, MW-56D, MW-98D and MW-98B are being sampled on a quarterly basis with the most recent sampling event occurring on November 21 and 22, 2016. During the November 2016 sampling event there was insufficient water in MW-26S to collect a sample.

Tabulated groundwater analytical results along with the laboratory analytical reports for the November 2016 sampling event are provided in Attachment B.

On September 14, 2016, the NJDEP Case Manager approved decommissioning 17 monitoring wells on the Retail Redevelopment Area of the site that were no longer being monitored. The list of monitoring wells approved for decommissioning are provided in the table below.

Shallow Overburden Wells	verburden Wells Weathered Bedrock Wells		
MW-41\$	MW-41W	MW-57B	
MW-48S	MW-48W	MW-59B	
MW-57\$	MW-57W	MW-61B	
MW-59S	MW-59W	MW-62B	

MW-67\$	MW-61W	
	MW-62W	*
	MW-63W	
	MW-67W	•

All of these wells were decommissioned by Advanced Drilling during the current reporting period.

#### Storm Sewer (AOI-18)

Remedial activities associated with AOI-18 are complete, as documented in Remedial Action Progress Report No. 1 (November 2009).

## 2. NJDEP requires discussion of problems and delays in the implementation of the RAWP, which should include proposals for corrections.

As discussed above, remedial activities are directly related to construction activities associated with the future redevelopment at the site which are dependent upon finalization of agreements with end users. Given current economic conditions, the construction activities described in the RAWP will not be implemented until redevelopment deals with end users are finalized above NJDEP criteria.

Linden Development is continuing to pursue agreements with end users for the Retail Redevelopment Area. In the interim, conditions at the site are stable given that GM's original cover types (asphalt, building pads, etc.) remain intact.

## 3. NJDEP requires proposals for a deviation from, or modification to, the approved RAWP.

As discussed with the NJDEP Case Manager on August 25, 2015, it is not anticipated that additional groundwater response actions related to PCE, TCE and 1,1-DCE in shallow groundwater at the proposed Walmart parcel will be required. No deviations from, or modifications to, the approved RAWP are planned or required at this time.

# 4. NJDEP requires submittal of a revised schedule pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:26E-6.5, to reflect the changes as noted in 1 through 3 above.

As discussed with the NJDEP Case Manager during previous meetings, implementation of the site earthwork activities is dependent on finalization of development agreements with end users. Agreements with end users are progressing, but finalization of agreements and the start of site earthwork activities are being delayed by the final appeals process associated with site plan and zoning approvals. Due to the appeals process, work anticipated to begin previously has been delayed. Linden Development will provide NJDEP with a more detailed schedule as the legal appeals are finalized.

## 5. NJDEP requires an updated status of all permit applications relative to the critical path schedule.

The permits required for initiation of the remedial activities are summarized below.

Permit/Approval Type	Status	Notes
Planning Board Approval	Approved 1/9/09	Site plan approved by City of Linden Planning Board
NPDES Permit (Storm Water)	Approved 9/16/09	NPDES Permit No. 0088323
Soil Conservation District	Approved 9/16/09	Approved by Somerset-Union Conservation District

## NJDEP requires a listing of each remedial action to be performed during the next reporting period.

Agreements with end users are progressing, but finalization of agreements and the start of site earthwork activities are being delayed by the final appeals process associated with site plan and zoning approvals. Due to the appeals process, work anticipated to begin previously has been delayed. Linden Development will provide NJDEP with a more detailed schedule as the legal appeals are finalized.

- 7. NJDEP requires costs of each remedial action.
  - i. Annual summary of all remedial action costs incurred to date; and
  - ii. Revised cost estimate for remedial actions remaining to be performed.

Given that significant construction and remedial implementation has not yet commenced, significant remedial costs have not yet been accrued, with the exception of minor costs for the storm sewer cleaning (i.e., approximately \$7,000) reported in Remedial Action Progress Report No. 1 and approximately \$128,000 for site work grading and seeding activities to date.

The cost estimate for completing remedial activities remains consistent with that presented in the RAWP (i.e., approximately \$7,500,000 for earthwork and construction of engineering controls).

8. NJDEP requires a tabulation of sampling results (according to N.J.A.C. 7:26E-3.13(c)3) received during the reporting period and a summary of the data and any conclusions, presented in a format consistent with N.J.A.C. 7:26E-4.8.

The laboratory analytical reports and tabulated groundwater results from the November 2016 sampling event are provided in Attachment B.

- 9. NJDEP requires a summary of active groundwater remedial actions.
  - groundwater elevation maps with groundwater flow shown immediately before and during active groundwater remediation;
  - graphs depicting changes in concentrations over time for all impacted wells as well as all downgradient wells;
  - iii. summary of volume of water treated since last reporting period and the total volume treated since active remedial action commenced; and
  - iv. Summary of groundwater contamination, indicating either that contamination remains above applicable standards (include a proposal detailing additional remedial actions) or that concentrations are below applicable standards.

As outlined in the approved RAWP, remedial actions related to groundwater underlying the Retail Redevelopment Area do not appear to be necessary (see discussion under item 1).

- 10. NJDEP requires a summary of natural remediation groundwater remedial actions.
  - i. Summary table of the groundwater monitoring results collected; and
  - ii. Conclusions whether data indicate that natural remediation is no longer appropriate (must then also submit a revised RAWP).

As outlined in the approved RAWP, remedial actions related to groundwater underlying the Retail Redevelopment Area do not appear to be necessary (see discussion under item 1).

- 11. NJDEP requires a description of all wastes generated as a result of the remedial action.
  - Tabulation of waste characterization samples collected, including the physical state of the material, volume, number of samples, analyses performed and results;
  - ii. Listing of types and quantities of waste generated by the remedial action during the reporting period as well as to date;
  - iii. Name of the disposal facility used;
  - iv. Transporters' dates of disposal; and
  - v. Manifest numbers of each waste shipment.

Investigative derived waste (IDW) was generated during well decommissioning activities and the November 2016 groundwater sampling event. Waste characterization samples of the IDW were collected and the analytical results reported in Appendix C. These non-hazardous drums are currently being staged in the Retail Redevelopment Area and will be removed during the next reporting period.

12. NJDEP requires that any additional support documentation that is available also be provided (photos, etc.).

Given that the majority of the remedial activities have not yet been implemented, no additional support documentation is available.

The next scheduled remedial action progress report will include remedial actions completed December 1, 2016 through February 28, 2017. Please feel free to contact me at (614) 793-8777 with any questions regarding the update provided herein.

Sincerely,

Raymond Kennedy Senior Project Manager

**Attachments** 

ct: Clifford Ng — U.S. EPA Region 2
David Jennings — Linden Development, LLC
Joseph M. Sorge — J.M. Sorge, Inc.

ayned Genned

## **ATTACHMENT A**

Report Certification

HULL & ASSOCIATES, INC. DUBLIN, OHIO

## **Certification**

# Linden Development, LLC ISRA Case Number E20040531-Retail

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted herein and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, to the best of my knowledge, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant civil penalties for knowingly submitting false, inaccurate or incomplete information and that I am committing a crime of the fourth degree if I make a written false statement which I do not believe to be true. I am also aware that if I knowingly direct or authorize the violation of any statue, I am personally liable for the penalties.

Linden Development, LLC, a New Jersey limited liability company

By: Duke Construction Limited Partnership, an Indiana limited partnership, its managing member

> By: Duke Business Centers Corporation, an Indiana corporation, sole general partner

BA:

John Van Vliet

Vice President, Construction

Sworn to and subscribed to before me on this 15th day

of December 201

tammy B. Alascow

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

NOTARIAL SEAL TAMMY B GLASGOW Notary Public CONSHOHOCKEN BORO, MONTGOMERY COUNTY My Commission Expires Jan 7, 2020

## **ATTACHMENT B**

November 2016 Groundwater Sampling Results

HULL & ASSOCIATES, INC. DUBLIN, OHIO

SEPTEMBER 2016 DUK059.701.0217

### Table 1 Duke Retail Rdevelopment Area 1016 W. Edgar Road, Linden Ave, Linden, New Jersey

## November 2016 Groundwater Analytical Results

## **TestAmerica**

Cilora IB	NI Hillahan ad	DUVOZO-MW	17RS:G112116	DUK059:MW-17	DD-C443448A	BUWOTO.M	W 470.	04404461	DUVOEO.		vo. 0440040I	B	BA 64141 WA	
Client ID Lab Sample ID	NJ Higher of PQLs and GW	DOV028:WAA-	460-124232-1	DUKU58:MW-1/	460-124232-2	DUK059:M		24232-3	DUK059:N		78:G112216 B0-124242-3	DUKO		D:G112216
Sempling Date	Quality		/2016 12:21:00	44104	/2016 12:21:00			13:06:00						D-124242-4
		11/21		11/21		11/2	1/2016			22/20	16 10:51:00		11/22/201	6 09:01:00
Matrix	Criterion		Water		Water			Water			Water			Water
Dilution Factor	2015		1		. 1						- 1			1
Unit	ug/l		ug/I		ug/l			ug/l			ug/l			ug/l
VOA-8260C-WATER		Result	Q MDL	Result	Q MDL	Result	a	MDL	Result	l o	MDL	Result	Q	MDL
WATER BY 8260C														
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	30	0.28	U 0.28		U 0.28	0.28	U	0.28	0.28		4144	0.28	U	0.28
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	0.19	U 0.19		U 0.19	0.19	U	0.19	0.19			0.19	U	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	3	0.08	U 0.08		U 0.08	0.08	U	0.08	0.08			0.08	U	0.08
1,1-Dichtoroethane	50	0.24	U 0.24		U 0.24	0.24	U	0.24	0.24			0.24	U	0.24
1,1-Dichloroethene	1	0.34	U 0.34		U 0.34	0.34	U	0.34	0.34	U	0.34	0.34	U	0.34
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	9	0.27	U 0.27	0.27	U 0.27	0.27	U	0.27	0.27	U	0.27	0.27	U	0.27
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	0.02	0.23	U 0.23	0.23	U 0.23	0.23	U	0.23	0.23	U	0.23	0.23	U	0.23
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.03	0.19	U 0.19	0.19	U0.19	0,19	U	0.19	0.19	U	0.19	0.19	U	0.19
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	600	0.22	U 0.22		U 0.22	0.22	U	0.22	0.22	U	0.22	0.22	U	0.22
1,2-Dichlorcethane	2	0.25	U 0.25	0.25	U[ 0.25	1.1	$\Box$	0.25	0.25	U	0.25	0.25	U	
1,2-Dichioropropane	1	0.18	U 0.18		U 0.18	0.18	U	0.18	0.18	U	0.18	0.18	Ü	0.18
1,3-Dichiorobenzene	600	0.33	U 0.33	0.33	U 0.33	0.33	U	0,33	0.33	U	0.33	0.33	Ü	0.33
1,4-Dichiorobenzene	75	0.33	U 0.33	0.33	U 0.33	0.33	Ü	0.33	0.33	·υ	0.33	0.33	U	0.33
2-Butanone	300	2.2	U 2.2	2.2	U 2.2	2.2	U	2.2	2.2	U	2.2	2.2	U	2.2
2-Hexanone	300	0.72	U] 0.72	0.72	U 0.72	0.72	U	0.72	0.72	U	0.72	0.72	· U	0.72
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	· NA	0.83	U 0.63	0.63	U 0.63	0.63	U	0.83	0.63	U	0.63	0.63	U	0.63
Acetone	6000	1.1	U 1.1	1.1	U 1.1	1.1	υl	1.1	1.1	U	1.1	1.1	U	1.1
Benzene	1]	0.09	U 0.09	0.09	U 0.09	0.09	. U	0.09	0.09	U	0.09	0.09	U	0.09
Bromodichloromethane	1	0.15	U 0.15	0.15	U 0.15	0.15	U	0.15	0.15	U	0.15	0.15	Ü	
Bromoform ·	4	0.18	U 0.18	0.18	U 0.18	0.18	ᅵ	0.18	0.18	U	0.18	0.18	Ü	0.18
Bromomethane	10	0.18	U 0.18	0.18	U 0.18	0.18	U	0.18	0.18	Ū		0.18	Ū	0.18
Cerbon disulfide	700	0.22	U 0.22	0.22	U 0.22	0.22	Ü	0.22	0.22			0.22	Ŭ	0.22
Carbon tetrachloride	1	0.33	U 0.33	0.33	U 0.33	0.33	Ü	0.33	0.33			0.33	Ü	0.33
Chlorobenzene	50	0.24	U 0.24	0.24	U 0.24	0.24	Ū	0.24	0.24			0.24	<del>- ŭ</del>	0.24
Chloroethane	5	0.37	ul 0.37	0.37	U 0.37	0.37	ü	0.37	0,37	ŭ		0.37	<u>_</u>	0.37
Chloroform	70	0.22	U 0.22		U 0.22	0.22	Ū	0.22	0.22			0.22		0.22
Chloromethane	NA	0.22	U 0.22		U 0.22	0.22	ᆒ	0.22	0.22			0.22	<del>-</del>	
ds-1,2-Dichtproethene	70	0.26	U 0.26		U 0.26	0.29	<u> </u>	0.26	0.26			4.1		. 0.26
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	0.16	U 0.16	0.16	U 0.16	0.16	<del>` ŭl</del>	0.16	0.16			0.16	Ü	0.16
Cyclohexene	NA	0.26	U 0.26		u 0.26	0.26	<del>ŭ -</del>	0.26	0.26			0.26	- U	0.26
Dibromochloromethane	1		U 0.22		U 0.22	0.22	<del>- 11</del>	0.22	0.22			0.22	<del> ŭ</del>	0.22
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1000	0.14	U 0.14		U 0.14	0.14	ŭ	0.14	0.14			0.14	Ü	0.14
Ethylbenzene .	700	0.3	U 0.3		U 0.3	0.14	히	0.14	0.14			0.14	Ü	. 0.14
Freon TF	20000	0.34	U 0.34		U 0.34	0.34	Ü	0.34	0.34			0.34		0.34
Isopropylbenzene	700	0.32	U 0.32		U 0.32	0.32	ᆔ	0.34	0.34			0.34	<u>U</u>	0.34
Methyl acetate	7000	0.58	U 0.58		U 0.58	0.58	ᆔ	0.58	0.52			0.52		0.32
Methylcyclohexane	NA NA	0.38	U 0.33		U 0.38	0.38	ᆔ	0.38	0.58			0.58		0.58
Methylene Chloride	3	0.22	U 0.21	0.22	J 0.21	0.22	ᆔ	0.22	0.22			0.22	<u></u>	
MTBE	70	0.21	U 0.13		U 0.13	0.21	비	0.21	0.21		7.2.1		ς.	0.21
Styrene	100	0.13	U 0.17		U 0.13	0.13		0.13	0.13			0.13	U	0.13
Tetrachloroethene	100	0.17	U 0.12		U 0.17	0.17	ᆔ					0.17		0.17
Toluene	600	0.12	U 0.12		U 0.12		ᆔ	0.12	0.12			0.12	U	0.12
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	100	0.25	U 0.25		U 0.18	0.25 0.18	- U	0.25	0.25			0.25		0.25
	1001 NA							0.18	0.18			0.18	Ü	0.18
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA NA	0.19	U 0.19		U 0.19	0.19	Ų	0.19	0.19			0.19	U	0.19
Trichloroethene	<u>-</u>	0.22	U 0.22		U 0.22	0.34	ᆜ	0.22	0.22			3.6		0.22
Trichlorofluoromethane	2000	0.15	U 0.15		U 0.15	0.15	U	0.15	0.15			0.15	U	0.15
Vinyl chloride	1	0.06	U 0.06		U 0.06	0.08	U	0.06	0.06		0.06	5.4		0.06
Xylenes, Total	1000	0.28	Ü 0.28		U 0.28	0.28	U	0.28	0.28		0.28	0.28	U	0.28
Total Conc	NA NA	0	1.	0.27		1.73			0			13.1		

Highlighted Concentrations shown in bold type face exceed limits \*: LCS or LCSD is outside acceptance limits.

U : Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

J : Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

### Table 1 Duke Retail Rdevelopment Area 1016 W. Edgar Road, Linden Ave, Linden, New Jersey

## November 2016 Groundwater Analytical Results

Client ID	NJ Higher of	DUKO	59:MW-559	:G112216]	DUKO	59:MW-985	:G112218	DUK	DUK059:MW-98D;G112216		16 DUK059:MW-988:G112216			
Lab Sample ID	PQLs and GW			-124242-5			-124242-6			124242-7	Donto		124242-8	
Sampling Date	Quality		11/22/2010			11/22/2010			11/22/201			11/22/2016		
Matrix	Criterion			Water			Water			Water		11/22/2010	Water	
Dilution Factor	2015			1			11			1			11000	
Unit	ug/l			ug/l			ug/l			ug/l			ug/l	
VOA-8260C-WATER		Result	Q	MDL	Result	ō	MDL	Result	ol	MDL	Result	al	MDL	
WATER BY 8260C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1100011			·	- 4	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Nesuk		WIDL	Nesuit		MUL	
1.1.1-Trichioroethane	30	0.28	· · ·	0.28	0.76		0.28	0.28	ū	0.28	0.28	U	0.28	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	0.19	<u>ŭ</u>	0.19	0.19	ü	0.19	0.19	Ü	0.19	0.19	<del></del>	0.19	
1,1,2-Trichlorgethane	3	0.08	ŭ	0.08	0.08	- ŭ	0.08	0.08	Ü	0.08	0.08	<del>- ŭ</del>	0.08	
1.1-Dichloroethane	50	0.24	<del>- ŭl</del>	0.24	1.8		0.24	2.5		0.24	0.69	- 1	0.24	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1	0.34	ŭ	0.34	0.34	- U	0.34	3.2		0.34	0.69	<u>-</u>	0.34	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	9	0.27	ŭ	0.27	0.27	ŭl	0.27	0.27	·······································	0.27	0.03	ᇻ	0.27	
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	0.02	0.23	<del></del>	0.23	0.23	ŭ	0.23	. 0.23	Ü	0.23	0.23	- ül	0.23	
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.03	0.19	· Ū	0.19	0.19	Ü	0.19	0.19	Ü	0.19	0.19	<del>- ŭl</del>	0.19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	600	0.22	Ü	0.22	0.22	ŭ	0.22	1.6		0.22	0.22	ü	0.18	
1,2-Dichloroethane	2	0.25	ŭ	0.25	0.25	Ü	0.25	0.25	- ···· U	0.25	0.25	- U	0.25	
1,2-Dichloropropane	1	0.18	Ü	0.18	0.18	ŭ	0.18	0.18	ŭ	0.18	0.18	Ü	0.18	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	600	0.33	ü	0.33	0.33	ŭ	0.10	0.33	Ü	0.10	0.33		0.13	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	75	0.33	ŭ	0.33	0.33	ŭ	0.33	0.33	<del></del>	0.33	0.33	ü	0.33	
2-Butanone	300	2.2	<del></del>	2.2	2.2	Ü	2.2	2.2	Ü	2.2	2.2	ŭ	2.2	
2-Hexanone	300	0.72	Ŭ	0.72	0.72	Ü	0.72	0.72	Ü	0.72	0.72	- 1	0.72	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	NA NA	0.63	ŭ	0.63	0.63	Ü	0.63	0.63	Ü	0.63	0.63	ŭ	0.63	
Acetone	6000	1.1	Ū	1.1	1.1	——-ŭl	1.1	1.1	Ü	1.1	1.1	ŭ	1.1	
Benzene	1	0.09	<del>- ŭ</del>	0.09	0.09	Ü	0.09	0.09	- ŭ	0.09	0.09	ŭ	0.09	
Bromodichloromethane	1	0.15	<del>- u</del> l	0.15	0.15	ŭ	0.15	0.15	ŭ	0.15	0.15	ŭ	0.05	
Bromoform	4	0.18	ŭ	0,18	0.18	Ü	0.18	0.18	ŭ	0.1B	0.18	<del>- ŭl</del>	0.13	
Bromomethane	10	0.18	ŭ	0.18	0.18	ŭ	0.18	0.18	ŭ	0.18	0.18	ŭ	0.18	
Carbon disulfide	700	0.22	- U	0.22	0.22	Ü	0.22	0.22	. u	0.22	0.22	ŭ	0.10	
Carbon tetrachloride	. 1	0.33	ŭ	0.33	0.33	ül	0.33	0.33	ŭ	0.33	0.68		0.33	
Chlorobenzene	50	0.24	Ü	0.24	0.24	ŭ	0.24	0.24	Ü	0.24	0.24	Ü	0.24	
Chloroethane	. 5	0.37	· ū	0.37	0.37	· ŭ	.0.37	0.37	. ŭ	0.37	0.37	. 0	0.37	
Chloroform	70	0.22		0.22	0.72	- 1	0.22	0.28	J	0.22	0.71	J	0.22	
Chloromethane	NA NA	0.22	Ü	0.22	0.22	ŭ	0.22	0.22	Ü	0.22	0.22	ŭ	0.22	
cis-1,2-Dichtoroethene	70	0.26	Ū	0.26	0.92	- 1	0.26	0.4	J	0.28	0.26	ŭ	0.28	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA NA	0.16	. 0	0.16	0.16	ŭ	0.16	0.16	U	0.16	0.16	Ü	0.16	
Cyclohexane	NA NA	0.26	· Ū	0.26	0.26	Ū	0.26	0.26	ül	0.28	0.26	· U	0.26	
Dibromochloromethane	1	0.22	Ü	0.22	0.22	Ū	0.22	0.22	- ŭ	0.22	0.22	- ŭ	0.22	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1000	0.14	Ü	0.14	0.14	Ü	0.14	0.14	Ü	0.14	0.14	<del> й</del>	0.14	
Ethylbenzene	700	0.3	Ū	0.3	0.3	<del>- i</del> l	0.3	0.3	Ü	0.3	0.3	u u	0.14	
Freen TF	20000	0.34	Ü	0.34	0.34	Ü	0.34	0.34	Ü	0.34	0.34	Ü	0.34	
Isopropylbenzene	700	0.32		0.32	0.32	Ü	0.32	0.32	- U	0.32	0.32	<del>- ŭl</del>	0.32	
Methyl acetate	7000	0.58	ŭ	0.58	0.58	Ü	0.58	0.58	Ü	0.58	0.58	U	0.58	
Methylcyclohexene	NA NA	0.22	Ü	0.22	0.22	ŭ	0.22	0.22	Ü	0.22	0.22	- ŭl-	0.22	
Methylene Chloride .	3	0.35	J	0.21	0.3	<u>-</u> -	0.21	0.25	J	0.21	0.52	- 1	0.21	
MTBE	70	0.13	u	0.13	0.13	Ü	0.13	0.13	Ü	0.13	0.13	Ü	0.13	
Styrene	100	0.17	<del>- ŭ</del> l	0.17	0.17	U	0.17	0.17	Ü	0.17	0.17	- ŭ	0.17	
Tetrachioroethene	1	0.12	Ü	0.12	45	<del>~~</del>	0.12	24		0.17	0.65	<del></del>	0.17	
Toluene	600	0.25	บ	0.25	0.25	Ü	0.25	0.25	U	0.12	0.25	ű	0.12	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	100	0.18	Ü	0.18	0.18	. Ü	0.18	0.18	ŭ	0.18	0.18	- 1	0.18	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA NA	0.19	· Ŭ	0.19	0.19	U	0.19	0.19	ŭ	0.19	0.19	- ŭ	0.19	
Trichloroethene	1	0.22	Ü	0.22	2.2		0.22	1,3		0.22	0.15	<del></del>	0.13	
Trichlorofluoromethane	2000	0.15	Ü	0.15	0.15	U	0.15	0.15	U	0.15	0.15	<del>- i</del>	0.15	
Vinyl chloride	11.	0.06	· Ü	0.06	0.08	ül	0.08	0.06	ü	0.06	0.06	<del>- il</del>	0.06	
Xvienes, Total	1000	0.28	ü	0.28	0.28	· · · · ·	0.28	0.28	ᆅ	0.28	0.28	- 01	0.28	
Total Conc	NA NA	0.35			51.5	<del>- ~</del>	9,20	33.53	<del></del>	0.20	4.21		. 0.20	

Highlighted Concentrations shown in bold type face exceed limits \*: LCS or LCSD is outside acceptance limits.

J : Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

U : Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

## **ATTACHMENT C**

Waste Characterization Results

HULL & ASSOCIATES, INC. DUBLIN, OHIO

# **IestAmerica**

## SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS: 460-124243-1Job Description: Waste Characterization Retail Redevelopment Area

Client ID	NJ Higher of	DUK059:WC-W1:W11221					
Lab Sample ID	PQLs and GW		460-124243				
Sampling Date	Quality	1	11/22/2016 10:55				
Matrix	Griterion			Water			
Dilution Factor	2015			1			
	ug/l			ug/l			
VOA-8260C-WATER	ugn	Result	a	MDL			
WATER BY 8260C							
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	30	0.28	U	0.28			
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	0.19	U	0.19			
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	3	0.08	U	0.08			
1,1-Dichloroethane	50	0.36	J	0.24			
1,1-Dichloroethene	1	0.34	U	0.34			
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	9	0.27	C	0.27			
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	0.02	0.23	U	0.23			
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.03	0.19	Ū	0.19			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	600	0.73	J	0.22			
1,2-Dichloroethane	2	0.76	J	0.25			
1,2-Dichloropropane	1	0.18	U	0.18			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	600	0.33	Ü	0.33			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	75	0.33	υ	0.33			
2-Butanone	300	2.2	Ū	2.2			
2-Hexanone	300	0.72	Ū	0.72			
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	NA	0.63	Ū	0.63			
Acetone	6000	1.1	Ü	1.1			
Benzene	1:	0.78	J	0.09			
Bromodichloromethane	1	0.15	Ü	0.15			
Bromoform	4	0.18	Ū	0.18			
Bromomethane	10	0.18	Ŭ	0.18			
Carbon disulfide	700	0.10	Ŭ	0.10			
Carbon tetrachloride	1	0.33	ŭ	0.33			
Chlorobenzene	50	2.4	$\dashv$	0.24			
Chloroethane	5	0.37	Ü	0.37			
Chloroform	70	0.4	J	0.22			
Chloromethane	NA NA	0.22	Ŭ	0.22			
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	70	2.2	H	0.26			
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA NA	0.16	·U	0.16			
Cyclohexane	NA NA	0.26	ΨŬ	0.26			
Dibromochloromethane	1	0.22	ŭ	0.22			
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1000	0.14	Ü	0.14			
Ethylbenzene	700	0.3	- ŭ	0.3			
Freon TF	20000	0.34	Ü	0.34			
Isopropylbenzene	700	0.32	ŭ	0.34			
Methyl acetate	7000	0.58	Ü	0.52			
Methylcyclohexane	NA	0.38	Ü	0.38			
Methylene Chloride	3	0.63	J	0.22			
MTBE	70	0.63	Ü	0.21			
			U				
Styrene	100	0.17	U	0.17			
Tetrachloroethene Toluene	1	3.9		0.12			
	600	0.25	U	0.25			
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	100	0.18	U	0.18			
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	0.19	Ü	0.19			
Trichloroethene	1	2.3	$\vdash$	0.22			
Trichlorofluoromethane	2000	0.15	U	0.15			
Vinyl chloride	1	0.76	J	0.06			
Xylenes, Total	1000	0.28	U	0.28			
Total Conc	· NA	15.22					

Highlighted Concentrations shown in bold type face exceed limits

J: Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

U : Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

## **ATTACHMENT C**

Waste Characterization Results

HULL & ASSOCIATES, INC. DUBLIN, OHIO

SEPTEMBER 2016 DUK059.701.0217

#### SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS: 460-124243-1Job Description: Waste Characterization Retail Redevelopment Area

Client ID	NJ Higher of	DUK059		-W1:W112216		
Lab Sample ID	PQLs and GW	:	460-1242			
Sampling Date	Quality	1	1/22/	2016 10:55:00		
Matrix	Griterion	<del></del>		Water		
Bilution Factor	2015			1		
	ug/l	·		ug/l		
VOA-8260C-WATER	9,1	Result	a	MDL		
WATER BY 8260C		<u> </u>				
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	30	0.28	U	0.28		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	0.19	Ú	0.19		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	3	0.08	U	0.08		
1,1-Dichloroethane	50	0.36	J	0.24		
1,1-Dichloroethene	1 9	0.34	٥.	0.34		
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.02	0.27		0.27		
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane 1,2-Dibromoethane	0.02	0.23 0.19	U	0.23 0.19		
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	600					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	2	0.73 0.76	J	0,22		
1,2-Dichloropropane	2	0.76	U	0.25 0.18		
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	600	0.18	U	0.18		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	75	0.33	U	0.33		
2-Butanone	300	2.2	Ü	2.2		
2-Hexanone	300	0.72	U	0.72		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	NA NA	0.63	Ü	0.63		
Acetone	6000	1.1	Ū	1.1		
Benzene	1	0.78	7	0.09		
Bromodichloromethane	1	0.15	Ū	0.15		
Bromoform	4	0.18	Ū	0.18		
Bromomethane	10	0.18	Ū	0.18		
Carbon disulfide	700	0.22	Ū	0.22		
Carbon tetrachloride	. 1	. 0.33	U	0.33		
Chlorobenzene	50	2.4		0.24		
Chloroethane	5	0.37	Ü	0.37		
Chloroform	70	0.4	J	0.22		
Chloromethane	NA	0.22	U	0.22		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	70	2.2		0.26		
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	0.16	C	0.16		
Cyclohexane	NA	0.26	U	0.26		
Dibromochloromethane	1	0.22	U	0.22		
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1000	0.14	U	0.14		
Ethylbenzene	700	0.3	U	0.3		
Freon TF	20000	0.34	Ū	0.34		
Isopropylbenzene	700	0.32	U	0.32		
Methyl acetate	7000	0.58	U	0.58		
Methylcyclohexane	NA	0.22	U	0.22		
Methylene Chloride	3	0.63	J	0.21		
MTBE	70	0.13	Ü	0.13		
Styrene	100	0.17	U	0.17		
Tetrachloroethene	1	3.9		0.12		
Toluene	600	0.25	U	0.25		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	100	0.18		0.18		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA NA	0.19	U	0.19		
Trichloroethene	1 2000	2.3		0.22		
Trichlorofluoromethane	2000	0.15	Ų	0.15		
Vinyl chloride	1 1	0.76	J	0.06		
Xylenes, Total Total Conc	1000 NA	0.28 15.22	U	0.28		

Highlighted Concentrations shown in bold type face exceed limits

J: Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

U : Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

## ATTACHMENT C

Waste Characterization Results

## <u>**TestAmerica**</u>

THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

## SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS: 460-124243-1Job Description: Waste Characterization Retail Redevelopment Area

Client ID	NJ Higher of	DUK059		W1:W112216		
Lab Sample ID	POLs and GW		460-			
Sampling Date	Quality	1:	11/22/2016 10:5 V			
Matrix	- Criterion					
	2015			1		
Dilution Factor						
VOA-8260C-WATER	- ug/l	Result	Q	MDL		
WATER BY 8260C	the second secon		2070			
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	. 30	0.28	C	0.28		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	0.19	C	0.19		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	3	0.08	С	0.08		
1,1-Dichloroethane	50	0.36	J	0.24		
1,1-Dichloroethene	1	0.34	Ċ	0.34		
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	9	0.27	U	0.27		
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	0.02	0.23	Ü	0.23		
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.03	0.19	· U	0.19		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	600	0.73	J	0.22		
1,2-Dichloroethane	2	0.76	J	0.25		
1,2-Dichloropropane	1	0.18	U	0.18		
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	600	0.33	Ü	0.33		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	75	0.33	Ū	0.33		
2-Butanone	300	2.2	U	2.2		
2-Hexanone	300	0.72	Ū	0.72		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	NA.	0.63	Ū	0.63		
Acetone	6000	1.1	Ū	1.1		
Benzene	1	0.78	J	0.09		
Bromodichloromethane	1	0.15	Ū	0.15		
Bromoform	4	0.18	ŭ	0.18		
Bromomethane	10	0.18	Ū	0.18		
Carbon disulfide	700	0.22	Ŭ	0.22		
Carbon tetrachloride	1	0.33	U	0.33		
Chlorobenzene	50	2.4		0.24		
Chloroethane	5	0.37	U	0.37		
Chloroform	70	0.4	J	0.22		
Chloromethane	NA NA	0.22	Ů	0.22		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	70	2.2		0.26		
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA NA	0.16	U	0.16		
Cyclohexane	NA NA	0.26	ΗÜ	0.26		
Dibromochloromethane	1	0.22	Ŭ	0.22		
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1000	0.14	Ü	0.14		
Ethylbenzene	700	0.3	Ü	0.3		
Freon TF	20000	0.34	Ü	0.34		
Isopropylbenzene	700	0.32	Ü	0.32		
Methyl acetate	7000	0.58	Ü	0.58		
Methylcyclohexane	NA	0.30	Ü	0.30		
Methylene Chloride	3	0.63	HJ	0.21		
MTBE	70	0.03	Ü	0.13		
Styrene	100	0.13	Ü	0.13		
Tetrachloroethene	1	3:9	H-	0.17		
Toluene	600	0.25	U	0.12		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	100	0.23	Ü	0.23		
	NA	. 0.18	ŭ	0.18		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1 NA	2.3	۱	0.19		
Trichloroethene	2000	0.15	Ū	0.22		
Trichlorofluoromethane	2000	0.15	J	0.15		
Vinyl chloride			U	0.08		
Xylenes, Total	1000	0.28	۳	0.28		
Total Conc	NA	15.22				

Highlighted Concentrations shown in bold type face exceed limits

J : Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

U : Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.